

**CROSSIDENTITY**  
IAM CONVERGED



## IAM in the NBFC's

## Table of Contents

1. Executive Overview
2. The NBFC Identity Challenge: Scale, Complexity, and Risk
3. Business and Risk Impact of Identity Failures in NBFCs
4. Regulatory & Security Expectations for NBFC Identity Controls
5. Why Fragmented IAM Tools No Longer Work
6. From Fragmentation to Convergence: A New Identity Security Model
7. Mapping Regulatory Expectations to Converged Identity Controls
8. Cross Identity's Converged Identity Security Platform
9. Continuous Compliance, Access Reviews & Audit Readiness
10. Implementation Approach
11. Conclusion: Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure for NBFCs

## 1. Executive Overview

### 1.1 NBFCs in a Digitally Expanding Financial Ecosystem

Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) are operating in an environment defined by rapid digitalization, increased regulatory scrutiny, and growing dependence on technology-driven operations. Digital lending platforms, customer portals, mobile applications, analytics systems, and outsourced service models have become central to NBFC business growth.

As NBFCs scale across geographies and products, their operational environments become more interconnected and complex. This expansion significantly increases the number of identities interacting with critical systems and financial data.

### 1.2 Identity as Foundational Infrastructure

In modern NBFC environments, identity is no longer a supporting IT control. It functions as core cybersecurity infrastructure that underpins access to systems, data, and financial processes. Every transaction, approval, configuration change, and system interaction begins with an identity.

Failures in identity controls—such as excessive access, outdated permissions, or weak accountability—directly translate into security, compliance, and operational risk.

### 1.3 The Limits of Traditional Security Models

Traditional security approaches that rely on perimeter defenses, application-level controls, or isolated identity tools are no longer sufficient for NBFCs. These models were designed for static environments and struggle to keep pace with dynamic user populations, outsourced operations, and automated workflows.

As a result, many NBFCs experience gaps in visibility, inconsistent access enforcement, and heavy dependence on manual controls.

### 1.4 The Shift Toward Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure

To manage identity risk at scale, NBFCs are increasingly adopting a Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure mindset. This approach embeds security directly into the operational fabric of the organization, rather than treating it as a bolt-on capability.

Within this model, identity becomes the central control plane that governs access consistently across users, systems, partners, and automation.

### 1.5 Purpose and Scope of This Report

This report presents a global, convergence-led perspective on identity security for NBFCs. It examines the business and regulatory impact of identity failures, highlights the limitations of fragmented security tools, and explains how a converged identity governance model supports secure growth, regulatory compliance, and operational resilience.

## 2. The NBFC Identity Challenge: Scale, Complexity, and Risk

### 2.1 Rapid Expansion of Digital NBFC Operations

NBFCs are increasingly operating as digital-first financial institutions. Customer onboarding, credit assessment, disbursements, collections, and servicing are executed through interconnected digital platforms. As product lines expand and operations scale, NBFC environments grow more distributed across cloud services, internal systems, and third-party platforms.

This rapid expansion introduces complexity that traditional access controls were not designed to manage.

### 2.2 Explosion of Identity Types

Modern NBFC environments include a wide range of identities beyond full-time employees. These include borrowers, field agents, outsourced partners, customer support vendors, system administrators, APIs, and automated processes. Each identity interacts with systems differently, yet all require secure and governed access. Managing this diversity of identities consistently is one of the most significant challenges facing NBFC security and compliance teams.

### 2.3 Identity Sprawl and Access Inconsistency

As identities increase, access sprawl becomes inevitable without strong governance. Employees accumulate permissions as roles change, agents retain access beyond assignments, and vendors often keep credentials long after contracts end. These inconsistencies create blind spots that increase insider risk and complicate audits. Manual access management processes struggle to keep pace with this level of operational change.

### 2.4 Outsourcing and Ecosystem Risk

NBFCs rely heavily on outsourced operations and partner ecosystems to support collections, customer service, analytics, and technology functions. While outsourcing enables efficiency, it also introduces new access pathways that are difficult to monitor and control using fragmented tools.

Poorly governed third-party access is a common source of regulatory observations and security incidents.

## **2.5 Automation, APIs, and Non-Human Identities**

Automation plays an increasing role in NBFC operations, from credit decisioning to reporting and notifications. APIs and service accounts often operate with elevated permissions, yet lack the visibility and governance applied to human users. Without proper controls, non-human identities can become high-impact attack vectors and a source of systemic risk.

## **2.6 Growing Risk Exposure**

The combination of identity sprawl, outsourcing, and automation increases NBFC exposure to fraud, data breaches, regulatory findings, and operational disruption. These risks are not isolated technical issues; they directly affect business continuity, customer trust, and regulatory confidence.

Addressing this challenge requires moving beyond isolated controls toward a unified approach to identity governance.

## 3. Business and Risk Impact of Identity Failures in NBFCs

### 3.1 Financial Loss and Fraud Exposure

Identity failures are a leading contributor to financial loss in NBFC environments. Excessive access, weak authentication, and poorly governed agent or partner accounts can enable unauthorized disbursements, fraudulent refunds, data manipulation, or misuse of customer information. These incidents often go undetected until financial impact has already occurred.

Even a single identity-related breach can result in significant monetary loss, particularly in high-volume digital lending and payment workflows.

### 3.2 Regulatory and Supervisory Consequences

NBFCs operate under close regulatory supervision, and identity-related weaknesses are frequently cited during audits and inspections. Inadequate access controls, lack of segregation of duties, orphan accounts, or insufficient audit evidence can lead to supervisory observations, remediation mandates, and penalties.

Repeated findings may result in heightened regulatory scrutiny, restrictions on business expansion, or damage to the organization's standing with regulators.

### 3.3 Operational Disruption and Remediation Costs

Identity failures often trigger disruptive remediation efforts. Access reviews, forensic investigations, and system-wide access corrections consume significant time and resources across IT, security, compliance, and business teams. These efforts divert attention from core operations and delay strategic initiatives.

In many cases, remediation costs exceed the initial impact of the incident itself.

### 3.4 Reputational Damage and Loss of Trust

Customer trust is central to NBFC success. Identity-related incidents that expose customer data, disrupt services, or enable fraud can erode confidence quickly.

Rebuilding trust with customers, partners, and regulators is a slow and costly process. In competitive markets, reputational damage can have long-term effects on customer acquisition and retention.

### 3.5 Strategic and Growth Constraints

Persistent identity risks limit an NBFC's ability to scale confidently. Regulators may impose additional oversight, partners may demand stronger controls, and internal risk teams may slow down digital initiatives. What begins as a security issue becomes a barrier to innovation and growth.

Addressing identity risk proactively enables NBFCs to pursue expansion with greater confidence and regulatory alignment.

## 4. Regulatory & Security Expectations for NBFC Identity Controls

### 4.1 A Converging Global Regulatory Environment

NBFCs operate under the oversight of financial regulators and supervisory authorities across jurisdictions. While regulatory frameworks differ by region, there is strong convergence in expectations around governance, access control, accountability, and operational resilience. Examples include guidance from central banks and financial regulators, data protection authorities, and payment oversight bodies such as RBI, FCA, EBA, MAS, and similar supervisory institutions globally. Across these regimes, identity and access controls are consistently treated as foundational to managing financial and operational risk.

### 4.2 Access Governance as a Regulatory Baseline

Regulators expect NBFCs to enforce clear governance over who can access systems, data, and financial functions. This includes defining access based on verified identity, role, and business need, rather than informal or discretionary permissions. Least-privilege access is no longer viewed as a best practice—it is a baseline requirement.

### 4.3 Segregation of Duties and Financial Control Integrity

Strong segregation of duties is a recurring regulatory theme for NBFCs. Sensitive activities such as loan approvals, disbursements, write-offs, refunds, and system configuration changes must not be executed end-to-end by a single individual. Identity controls must support independent initiation, review, and approval of high-risk actions to prevent fraud and operational errors.

### 4.4 Oversight of Outsourced and Third-Party Access

NBFCs frequently rely on agents, service providers, and outsourcing partners. Regulators expect organizations to maintain clear oversight of third-party access, including defined access scopes, approval processes, and timely revocation when roles or contracts change. Inadequate control over outsourced access is a common source of supervisory concern.

### 4.5 Privileged Access and System Integrity

Administrative and privileged access to core lending systems, infrastructure, and databases represents a significant risk. Regulators expect such access to be restricted, monitored, and fully traceable. Permanent elevated privileges should be minimized, and all privileged activity must be attributable to specific individuals or systems.

#### **4.6 Governance of Automation and Non-Human Identities**

As NBFCs adopt automation and system integrations, regulators increasingly expect governance to extend beyond human users. APIs, service accounts, and automated workflows must be uniquely identifiable, appropriately scoped, and auditable to prevent misuse and systemic failures.

#### **4.7 Auditability and Evidence of Control**

Regulatory compliance requires NBFCs to demonstrate not just the existence of policies, but evidence of effective control. Identity systems must produce reliable records of authentication, access changes, approvals, and privileged activity. These records form the basis of audit readiness, supervisory reviews, and incident investigations.

## 5. From Fragmentation to Convergence: A New Identity Security Model

### 5.1 Convergence as a Structural Shift

Moving from fragmented identity tools to a converged identity security model represents a structural shift in how NBFCs approach cybersecurity. Rather than treating identity controls as isolated functions, convergence unifies identity governance, access enforcement, and risk oversight into a single, coherent framework.

This shift aligns identity security with the realities of modern NBFC operations, where users, systems, and partners interact continuously across digital channels.

### 5.2 Identity as the Central Control Plane

In a converged model, identity functions as the central control plane for cybersecurity-as-an-infrastructure. Every access request—whether from an employee, agent, partner, API, or automated process—is evaluated against a shared identity context that includes role, entitlement, risk, and policy.

This enables consistent enforcement of security and compliance requirements across all systems and environments.

### 5.3 Unified Governance Across the Identity Lifecycle

Convergence brings together identity lifecycle management, role governance, access enforcement, and monitoring into a single system. Joiner–mover–leaver events automatically trigger access changes, ensuring permissions remain aligned with current responsibilities. By eliminating gaps between provisioning, privilege, and review processes, NBFCs reduce both insider risk and audit exposure.

### 5.4 Embedded Controls for High-Risk Activities

High-risk actions such as financial approvals, configuration changes, and administrative access are governed through embedded, policy-driven controls. Segregation of duties, approval workflows, and time-bound access are enforced consistently, without relying on manual intervention.

This approach strengthens internal controls while supporting operational efficiency.

### 5.5 Continuous Visibility and Risk Awareness

A converged identity model provides continuous visibility into who has access to what, why that access exists, and how it is being used. Identity-related events are correlated across systems, enabling earlier detection of anomalies and faster response to potential misuse.

For NBFCs, this visibility is essential for managing risk proactively rather than reactively.

### 5.6 Convergence as the Foundation for Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure

By unifying identity controls into a single, policy-driven framework, convergence enables NBFCs to treat identity security as core infrastructure rather than a collection of tools. This foundation supports consistent enforcement, continuous compliance, and scalable growth.

Convergence is not an incremental improvement—it is a necessary evolution for NBFCs operating in complex, regulated digital environments.

## 6. Why Fragmented Identity Tools No Longer Work

### 6.1 The Reality of Tool Sprawl in NBFCs

Most NBFCs have accumulated identity-related tools over time to solve individual problems. User provisioning, authentication, privileged access, access reviews, and logging are often handled by separate systems implemented at different stages of growth. While each tool may function adequately on its own, together they form a fragmented security architecture.

This fragmentation creates operational silos that are difficult to manage in fast-moving, regulated environments.

### 6.2 Lack of Shared Context Across Controls

Fragmented tools operate without a shared understanding of identity, role, or business context. An IAM system may know who a user is, while a PAM system knows when privileged access is used, and audit logs capture activity elsewhere. However, these systems rarely correlate information in real time.

As a result, NBFCs struggle to answer basic but critical questions, such as who has access to what, why that access exists, and whether it is still appropriate.

### 6.3 Manual Processes and Operational Overhead

Because fragmented tools do not work together seamlessly, NBFCs rely heavily on manual processes to bridge gaps. Access reviews, compliance reporting, and audit preparation often involve spreadsheets, email approvals, and ad-hoc reconciliations. These manual efforts increase operational overhead, introduce human error, and delay response during incidents or regulatory reviews.

### 6.4 Inconsistent Policy Enforcement

In a fragmented environment, access policies are enforced inconsistently across systems. Role changes may be reflected in one application but not another. Privileged access may be tightly controlled in some environments and loosely governed in others.

This inconsistency weakens overall security posture and creates uneven compliance across the organization.

## **6.5 Delayed Risk Detection and Response**

Fragmentation limits visibility into identity-related risk. Signals such as excessive access, unusual behavior, or misuse of privileged credentials are often detected too late or in isolation. Without unified context, identifying patterns and responding quickly becomes challenging.

For NBFCs, delayed detection can significantly amplify financial, regulatory, and reputational impact.

## **6.6 Fragmentation Is Incompatible with Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure**

Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure requires security controls to be embedded, consistent, and always-on. Fragmented identity tools, dependent on manual coordination and reactive processes, are fundamentally incompatible with this model. As NBFCs scale digitally, fragmented identity architectures become a structural risk rather than a temporary limitation.

## 7. Mapping Regulatory Expectations to Converged Identity Controls

The table below illustrates how key regulatory and security expectations for NBFCs are addressed through a converged identity security model. This mapping demonstrates how Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure translates regulatory intent into system-enforced, auditable controls.

Regulatory / Security Expectation	Underlying NBFC Risk	Fragmented Tool Limitation	Converged Identity Control	Business & Compliance Outcome
Verified and governed user access	Unauthorized or excessive access to systems and data	IAM operates independently from business context	Centralized identity lifecycle with role-based access	Reduced insider risk and clearer accountability
Least-privilege enforcement	Privilege creep as roles change	Manual access updates and reviews	Automated joiner-mover-leaver governance	Continuous access alignment
Segregation of duties	Single-user control over financial actions	Application-level or manual checks	System-enforced role separation and approval workflows	Lower fraud and operational error risk
Controlled third-party access	Agents and vendors access beyond mandate	Poor visibility into outsourced access	Centralized third-party identity governance	Stronger outsourcing oversight
Privileged access restriction	Misuse of administrative privileges	PAM isolated from identity governance	Privileged access governed within identity lifecycle	Improved system integrity
Governance of non-human identities	APIs and automation operating unchecked	Service accounts unmanaged	Unified governance for human and non-human identities	Reduced automation risk
Timely access revocation	Orphan and dormant accounts	De-provisioning inconsistent across tools	Policy-driven access revocation	Fewer audit findings
Auditability and traceability	Inability to prove control effectiveness	Logs scattered across systems	Unified identity audit trails and reporting	Faster audits and inspections

### Why This Mapping Matters

This mapping highlights the limitations of fragmented identity controls in meeting NBFC regulatory expectations. A converged identity security approach ensures that governance, access enforcement, and audit evidence operate as a single system rather than disconnected processes.

By aligning regulatory intent directly with converged controls, NBFCs can reduce risk, simplify compliance, and establish identity as core cybersecurity infrastructure.

## 8. Cross Identity's Converged Identity Security Platform

### 8.1 Built for Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure

Cross Identity is designed around the principle of Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure, where identity security is embedded into the operational fabric of the organization rather than implemented as disconnected controls. The platform unifies identity governance, access management, privileged access, and risk visibility into a single, coherent system. This approach enables NBFs to move away from reactive, tool-driven security toward a stable, always-on identity foundation.

### 8.2 A Unified Identity Control Framework

Cross Identity brings together all identity types—employees, agents, partners, administrators, APIs, and automated processes—under a shared identity context. Access decisions are driven by consistent policies that account for role, lifecycle state, risk, and regulatory requirements.

By operating on a single identity fabric, the platform eliminates gaps between provisioning, privilege management, and access reviews.

### 8.3 Lifecycle-Driven Access Governance

Identity lifecycle events such as onboarding, role changes, and exits automatically trigger access updates across systems. This ensures that permissions remain aligned with current responsibilities and eliminates the accumulation of excessive or outdated access. Lifecycle-driven governance reduces insider risk, strengthens audit outcomes, and minimizes manual intervention.

### 8.4 Embedded Controls for High-Risk Access

High-risk activities—including financial approvals, system configuration changes, and administrative access—are governed through embedded, policy-driven controls. Segregation of duties, approval workflows, and time-bound access are enforced consistently across environments.

Privileged access is managed as part of the broader identity governance framework, rather than as an isolated function.

### 8.5 Governance for Third-Party and Non-Human Identities

Cross Identity extends governance beyond internal users to include agents, outsourced partners, APIs, and automated workflows. Access for these identities is scoped, monitored, and lifecycle-managed with the same rigor applied to employees. This unified approach reduces ecosystem risk and improves visibility across outsourced and automated operations.

## **8.6 Continuous Visibility and Audit Readiness**

The platform provides continuous visibility into identity access and activity across systems. Centralized audit trails capture authentication events, access changes, approvals, and privileged actions in a single view.

This enables NBFCs to maintain continuous compliance readiness and respond quickly to audits, inspections, and investigations.

## **8.7 Enabling Secure Scale and Regulatory Confidence**

By converging identity controls into a single platform, Cross Identity enables NBFCs to scale digital operations, partnerships, and automation with confidence. Security, compliance, and operational efficiency are addressed together, rather than in silos.

Cross Identity positions identity security as a foundational capability that supports growth while meeting regulatory and risk management expectations.

## 9. Continuous Compliance, Access Reviews & Audit Readiness

### 9.1 From Periodic Audits to Continuous Compliance

In regulated NBFC environments, compliance is no longer a point-in-time activity tied to annual audits. Regulators increasingly expect organizations to demonstrate continuous control effectiveness, particularly around access governance. Identity security must therefore operate as an always-on capability rather than a periodic exercise.

Continuous compliance requires identity controls that are embedded into daily operations and consistently enforced across systems.

### 9.2 Access Reviews as an Ongoing Governance Mechanism

Access reviews are a critical component of identity governance for NBFCs. Rather than relying on ad-hoc or manual reviews, organizations are expected to periodically validate that access remains appropriate for employees, agents, partners, and automated systems.

System-driven access certification ensures that permissions align with current roles, responsibilities, and business needs, helping prevent privilege creep and unauthorized access.

### 9.3 Lifecycle-Driven Review and Revocation

Effective access reviews are closely tied to identity lifecycle events. Role changes, project transitions, and contract terminations should automatically trigger access reassessment or revocation. This lifecycle-driven approach reduces reliance on manual intervention and ensures that access does not persist beyond its intended purpose.

For NBFCs, timely revocation of access is essential to reducing insider risk and meeting regulatory expectations.

### 9.4 Audit-Ready Evidence and Traceability

Regulatory audits and supervisory reviews require clear, defensible evidence of access control effectiveness. Identity systems must maintain reliable records of authentication events, access grants and revocations, approvals, and high-risk activities.

Centralized, tamper-resistant audit trails allow NBFCs to respond quickly to audit requests and demonstrate control without extensive manual preparation.

## **9.5 Supporting Investigations and Incident Response**

Strong identity evidence is equally important during incident investigations. When suspicious activity or policy violations occur, identity-based logs enable rapid reconstruction of events, helping teams determine what happened, who was involved, and what actions were taken.

This capability reduces investigation time, limits operational disruption, and supports timely regulatory communication where required.

## **9.6 Continuous Compliance as Part of Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure**

By integrating access reviews, lifecycle governance, and audit evidence into a unified identity framework, NBFs can move from reactive audit preparation to continuous compliance. This approach aligns directly with the Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure model, where security and compliance are built into the organization's core operating fabric.

## 10. Implementation Approach

### Adopting Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure through Converged Identity

#### 10.1 Treat Identity as a Core Risk and Compliance Capability

For NBFCs, implementation begins with positioning identity security as a foundational risk and compliance control rather than an IT function. Identity must be owned jointly by security, risk, compliance, and business stakeholders, reflecting its role in governing financial access, approvals, and accountability.

#### 10.2 Prioritize High-Risk Business Functions

NBFCs should begin implementation by securing high-risk and regulator-sensitive areas, including:

- Core lending and loan management systems
- Financial approvals, disbursements, and write-offs
- Administrative and system-level access
- Agent, vendor, and outsourced operations

Focusing on these areas delivers immediate reduction in fraud and audit exposure.

#### 10.3 Move from Manual Controls to System-Enforced Governance

Manual processes such as spreadsheets, email approvals, and periodic clean-ups should be replaced with policy-driven identity controls. Joiner–mover–leaver events, role changes, and contract terminations must automatically trigger access updates and revocation across systems.

System-enforced governance reduces human error and ensures consistency at scale.

#### 10.4 Extend Identity Governance Across the Ecosystem

NBFC operations depend heavily on agents, partners, and third-party service providers. Implementation should ensure that external identities are governed with the same rigor as internal users, including defined access scopes, approval workflows, lifecycle management, and monitoring.

This is essential for meeting regulatory expectations around outsourced operations.

#### 10.5 Embed Continuous Compliance and Audit Readiness

Rather than preparing for audits reactively, NBFCs should embed access reviews, monitoring, and audit trails into daily identity operations. Continuous visibility into who has access, why it exists, and how it is used enables faster audits, cleaner inspections, and stronger regulatory confidence.

## 11. Conclusion: Identity as Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure for NBFCs

### 11.1 Identity as the Foundation of NBFC Security and Trust

As NBFCs continue to digitize and scale, identity has emerged as the foundation of security, compliance, and operational trust. Every interaction with systems, data, and financial processes begins with an identity, making identity controls central to risk management rather than a supporting IT function.

Treating identity as Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure reflects the reality that access governance must be embedded, consistent, and always-on.

### 11.2 The Cost of Fragmentation Is No Longer Sustainable

Fragmented identity tools introduce gaps in visibility, inconsistent enforcement, and heavy reliance on manual processes. For NBFCs operating under regulatory scrutiny, these gaps translate directly into fraud exposure, audit findings, operational disruption, and growth constraints.

As identity surfaces expand to include agents, partners, APIs, and automation, fragmentation becomes a structural weakness rather than a temporary limitation.

### 11.3 Convergence as the Path Forward

A converged identity security model addresses these challenges by unifying lifecycle governance, access controls, privileged access, third-party oversight, and audit readiness into a single framework. This approach enables NBFCs to enforce policy consistently across all identities and systems while maintaining continuous compliance.

Convergence shifts identity security from a reactive, tool-driven exercise to a proactive, infrastructure-level capability.

### 11.4 Enabling Secure Growth and Regulatory Confidence

By adopting identity security as infrastructure, NBFCs can reduce risk while enabling growth. Strong identity governance supports digital expansion, outsourcing, automation, and partnerships without compromising regulatory expectations or operational resilience. Most importantly, it provides regulators, auditors, partners, and customers with confidence that access to critical systems and data is governed effectively.

### 11.5 Moving Toward a Converged Identity Future

The transition from fragmented controls to converged identity security is not merely a technology upgrade—it is a strategic shift in how NBFCs manage risk, compliance, and trust. Organizations that make this shift are better positioned to navigate regulatory complexity, respond to emerging threats, and scale securely in a digital financial ecosystem.

**Identity, when treated as Cybersecurity-as-an-Infrastructure, becomes a long-term enabler of resilience, compliance, and sustainable growth for NBFCs.**

# About Cross Identity

Elevate your identity security with Cross Identity, World's #1 converged IAM platform trusted by over 1,200 organizations to secure more than 70 million identities. More than just an IAM solution, Cross Identity unifies authentication, authorization, governance, and administration into a single, seamless experience. Our platform not only enhances security and compliance but also delivers an user experience and recommended by leading analysts that set the standard for the industry.



+91 901 926 6824



[inquiry@crossidentity.com](mailto:inquiry@crossidentity.com)



[www.crossidentity.com](http://www.crossidentity.com)

